



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

H.A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/801,838	03/17/2004	Naohiro Ueda	R2180.0193/P193	3147
24998	7590	10/25/2006	EXAMINER	
DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO LLP 1825 EYE STREET NW Washington, DC 20006-5403			KALAM, ABUL	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2814	

DATE MAILED: 10/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/801,838

Applicant(s)

UEDA, NAOHIRO

Examiner

Abul Kalam

Art Unit

2814

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 August 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 17 and 18 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-10, 17 and 18 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 July 2004 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 2-10, 17 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In line 1 of claims 2-10, 17 and 18, the limitation "A semiconductor apparatus" should be amended to recite -- The semiconductor apparatus --.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. **Claim 6** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The limitation "the insulating film includes a specific material of the resistive element," in line 2 of claim 6, lacks support from the specification. In the specification, the specific material of the resistive element includes polysilicon, silicon germanium, and silicon chrome. However, the insulating film is described in the specification as a LOCOS oxide film. Thus, what specific material is the applicant referring to with the phrase "wherein the insulating film includes a specific material of the resistive element."

Art Unit: 2814

Therefore, for examination purposes the Office will interpret claim 6, based on the specification, as: --The semiconductor apparatus according to claim 5, wherein the fuse element includes a specific material of the resistive element--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. **Claim 18** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The limitation "towards said electrode pad," in lines 2-3 of claim 18, is unclear because applicant has not provided support for such a limitation in the specification. Thus, for examination purposes the Office will interpret claim 18, based on the specification, as: --The semiconductor apparatus according to claim 4, wherein said gate electrode pad has lengthwise ends which are bent in an upward direction over an insulating film--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. **Claims 1, 2 and 4-6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Rodriguez et al. (US 5,821,160)**.

With respect to **claim 1**, **Rodriguez** teaches a semiconductor apparatus (**FIG. 7**) comprising:

a semiconductor substrate (**12**);

an electrode pad ("**bonding pads**") including a metal layer (**54**) and formed on the semiconductor substrate (**col. 6: Ins. 48-53**);

a MOS transistor (**NMOS**) formed on the semiconductor substrate (**col. 3: Ins. 59-61, col. 4: Ins. 35-52**); and

an analog circuit formed in a region under the electrode pad on the semiconductor substrate and comprising a resistive element (**42**) including a semiconductor material ("**polysilicon**") (**col. 5: Ins. 28-29, 34-36**).

Regarding the limitation "analog circuit," applicant claims that the analog circuit is comprised of a resistive element including a semiconductor material. Therefore, the integrated circuit taught by **Rodriguez**, which comprises a resistive element (**42**), can also be considered an analog circuit.

With respect to **claim 2**, **Rodriguez** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein the resistive element (**42**) includes a specific material made of polysilicon (**col. 3: Ins. 59-61, col. 4: Ins. 35-52**).

With respect to **claim 4**, **Rodriguez** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein the MOS transistor (**NMOS**) comprises a gate electrode (**21; FIG. 2**) including a specific material ("**polysilicon**") of the resistive element (**42**) (**col. 3: Ins. 59-61, col. 4: Ins. 13-23**).

With respect to **claim 5**, **Rodriguez** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 1 above, further comprising:

an insulating film (32) formed on the semiconductor substrate (12) in a region in a vicinity of the electrode pad (54) (FIG. 7; col. 4: Ins. 42-47); and

a fuse element (36) formed on the insulating film (32) (col. 4, Ins. 53-67).

With respect to **claim 6**, (*as best interpreted by the Office*) **Rodriguez** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claims 1 and 5 above, wherein the fuse element (36) includes the specific material of the resistive element ("polysilicon") (col. 4, Ins. 53-67).

5. **Claims 1-4 and 17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Takasu et al. (US 6,369,409)**.

With respect to **claim 1**, **Takasu** teaches a semiconductor apparatus (FIG. 12A-12F) comprising:

a semiconductor substrate (801; FIG. 12A);

an electrode pad ("bonding pads") including a metal layer (814; FIG. 12F) and formed on the semiconductor substrate (col. 9: Ins. 43-56);

a MOS transistor ("N-type transistor") formed on the semiconductor substrate (col. 9: Ins. 23-28); and

an analog circuit formed in a region under the electrode pad on the semiconductor substrate and comprising a resistive element (807; FIG. 12D) including a semiconductor material ("polysilicon") (col. 9: Ins. 28-67).

Regarding the limitation “analog circuit,” applicant claims that the analog circuit is comprised of a resistive element including a semiconductor material. Therefore, the circuit taught by **Takasu**, which comprises a resistive element **(807)**, can also be considered an analog circuit.

With respect to **claim 2**, **Takasu** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein the resistive element **(807)** includes a specific material made of polysilicon **(col. 9: Ins. 28-30)**.

With respect to **claim 3**, **Takasu** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein the resistive element includes a plurality of resistors **(807; FIG. 2D) (col. 9: Ins. 10-33, 56-58)**.

With respect to **claim 4**, **Takasu** teaches a semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein the MOS transistor (“**N-type transistor**”) comprises a gate electrode **(806; FIG. 12D)** including a specific material (“**polysilicon**”) of the resistive element **(807; FIG. 12D) (col. 9: Ins. 10-33)**.

With respect to **claim 17**, **Takasu** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein the resistive element includes a plurality of doped semiconductor material resistors **(807; FIG. 12D) (col. 9: Ins. 10-33)**.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. **Claim 7** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rodriguez et al. (US 5,821,160)** as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of **Matsuzaki et al. (US 2002/0063262)**.

With respect to **claim 7**, **Rodriguez** teaches all the limitations of the claim, as set forth above in claim 1, with the exception of disclosing:

a rerouting layer formed in a region above the fuse element; and
an external connection terminal formed on the rerouting layer in a region different from a formation region of the electrode pad.

However, **Matsuzaki** teaches a semiconductor apparatus (**FIG. 3**) wherein a rerouting layer (**148**) is formed in a region above a fuse element (**142**; **pg. 5: [0093]**); and an external connection terminal (**150**) is formed on the rerouting layer in a region different from a formation region of the electrode pad (**143**) (**pg. 4: [0080]-[0081]**).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the device of **Rodriguez** to include a rerouting layer and external connection terminal on the rerouting layer, as taught by **Matsuzaki**, for the disclosed intended purpose of connecting the semiconductor apparatus to an electrode of another chip, thereby forming a multi-chip apparatus (**pg. 4: [0082]**).

7. **Claims 8-10** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rodriguez et al. (US 5,821,160)** as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of **Tsuchida (US 6,232,823)**.

With respect to **claim 8**, **Rodriguez** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 5 above, with the exception of disclosing:

wherein the analog circuit comprises a voltage setting circuit, the resistive element comprises at least two resistors for producing a split voltage based on an input source power voltage, and the voltage setting circuit changes the split voltage according to a condition of the fuse element.

However, **Tsuchida** teaches voltage setting circuit (**fig. 1**), in which a resistive element comprises at least two resistors (**22, 23, 24, 25, 26**) for producing a split voltage based on an input source power voltage (**21**), and the voltage setting circuit changes the split voltage according to a condition of the fuse element (**27, 28, 29, 30**) (**col. 7: Ins. 7-64**).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the semiconductor apparatus of **Rodriguez** to include a voltage setting circuit, as taught by **Tsuchida**, for the disclosed intended purpose of providing a voltage setting circuit, in which the number of choices in the output voltage is increased while suppressing the increase of an area occupied by resistors (**col. 2, Ins. 24-27**).

With respect to **claim 9**, **Rodriguez** teaches the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 1 above, and **Tsuchida** teaches (**fig. 6**) wherein the resistive element

comprises at least two resistors (22, 23, 24, 25, 26) for producing a split voltage (col. 11: Ins. 51-55; col. 7: 45-64) based on an input source power voltage (53), the analog circuit comprises a reference voltage generator (51) for generating a reference voltage (col. 11: Ins. 61-63) and a voltage detector including a comparator (52) for performing a comparison of the split voltage with the reference voltage (col. 11: Ins. 50-67; col. 12, Ins. 1-33). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of **Rodriguez** with the teachings **Tsuchida**, for the reasons stated above in claim 8.

With respect to **claim 10**, **Rodriguez** and **Tsuchida** teach the semiconductor apparatus as set forth in claim 9 above, and **Tsuchida** also teaches (**fig. 6**) wherein the apparatus further comprises an output driver (54) for controlling an output voltage (55) based on an input voltage (53), and the comparator (52) of the voltage detector outputs a gate control voltage ("operation voltage") as a result of the comparison for controlling the output driver (54) to control the output voltage (col. 11, Ins. 61-67; col. 12, Ins. 1-5). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of **Rodriguez** with the teachings **Tsuchida**, for the reasons stated above in claim 8.

8. **Claims 18** (*as best interpreted by the Office*) is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Rodriguez et al. (US 5,821,160)** as applied to claim 5 above, and further in view of **Kohda et al. (US 5,107,313)**.

With respect to **claim 18**, **Rodriguez** teaches all the limitations of the claim, as

set forth above in claim 4, with the exception of explicitly disclosing wherein said gate electrode has lengthwise ends which are bent in an upward direction over an insulating film.

However, **Khoda** teaches a semiconductor apparatus wherein the gate electrode **(4b)** has lengthwise ends which are bent in an upward direction over an insulating film **(2)** (**FIG. 10; col. 6, Ins. 6-12**).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the apparatus of **Rodriguez** to form the gate electrode with lengthwise ends bent in upward direction, as taught by **Khoda**, for the disclosed intended purpose of reducing the horizontal spacing between the gates, which thereby reduces the cell area and leads to a higher cell density of memory devices (**col. 6: Ins. 21-24**).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abul Kalam whose telephone number is 571-272-8346. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 9 AM - 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael M. Fahmy can be reached on 571-272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Abul Kalam
October 20, 2006



THAO X. LE
PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER